

Egg antibody technology for nursery pig application



Pigs at birth having insufficient immunity are simply not able to cope with the stress situations they face early in life. They of course become susceptible to the many pathogens common in the farrowing house. The resulting negative effects are added medical costs for treating the pigs and often an increased mortality. Strengthening the immune system by applying egg antibodies (IgY) during the first days of piglet's life is a proven viable option.



Immunity in pigs

Humans and animals are protected against diseases by specific antibodies (AB). Newborns receive the antibodies maternally (**passive immunity**) and they produce them after contact with pathogens (**active immunity**). But unlike humans, who receive maternal AB within the womb, sows possess a multi-layered placenta which prevents the transfer of AB during gestation. Therefore, an early intake of AB from colostrum is essential. This intake should begin immediately after birth as absorption decreases with every hour. But, the maternal antibodies are only a “starter immune kit”. The young pigs immediately must begin to develop their own “active immunity”.




Figure 1: Immune status of the young pig (Sieverding, 2000)

Figure 1 shows gaps of low immunity shortly after birth and about six weeks after, as the level of passive immunity begins to drop and the active immunity starts to build up. The strength of the passive immune protection depends on quantity and quality of the colostrum consumed by the nursery pig. The quality is determined by the pathogens the sows have been confronted with during their life. Young gilts and sows with only short adaptation time into the herd often do not have the farm-specific antibodies needed to pass to their nursing pigs.

How can egg antibodies serve as a tool?


Young pigs are challenged by different pathogens (see figure 2). From studies made by the German internist Felix Klemperer (Klemperer, 1893) we know that hens which come in contact with pathogens (in his studies with tetanus bacillus) produce antibodies against these pathogens. The antibodies are transferred to the egg yolk and are intended for being a starter protection kit for the chicks.

Technology allows us today to produce a highly valuable product based on egg powder. It contains significant amounts of natural [egg immunoglobulins](#) (IgY – immunoglobulins from the yolk). These egg antibodies mainly act in the gut. There they recognize and tie up pathogens and in this way render them ineffective.

Figure 2: Commonly occurring pathogens causing diarrhea in pigs as they age

Birth	Weaning
<i>E. coli</i> K88	
<i>E. coli</i> K99	
<i>E. coli</i> 987P	
	<i>E. coli</i> Oedema
	<i>S. typhimurium</i>
TGE Virus	
<i>Cryptosporidium</i>	
Rotavirus	
<i>Clostridium perfringens</i>	
	Circovirus

Not all egg powders are equal



Early work done by Kellner et al. (1994) showed the effectiveness of egg powder containing relevant antibodies against diarrhea causing pathogens in nursery pigs. In the trial they evaluated three groups receiving egg powder with relevant antibodies, egg powder from regular eggs or no additive (negative control).

Results:

(Figure 3: Effects of egg powder with relevant antibodies and egg powder from regular eggs in comparison to a negative control):

- The group that received egg powder containing relevant antibodies completely recovered from diarrhea on day 4.
- In the group fed normal egg powder on day 4 still 9 % suffered from severe diarrhea.
- In the control more than 70 % showed either severe or light diarrhea.

The results show that the effectiveness of egg powder depends on its content of antibodies.

Reducing mortality by oral administration of egg antibodies

The effectiveness of egg antibodies in pigs was demonstrated also in other studies (Erhard et al., 1996, Yokoyama et al., 1992, Nguyen et al., 2005, Yokoyama et al., 1997). One trial conducted in Germany showed promising results concerning reduction of mortality in the farrowing unit. For the trial 96 sows and their litters were divided evenly into three groups (32 sows each) and the pigs were treated as follows:

Group	Number of pigs	Treatment
Negative Control	530	no treatment

Group EP - 1+3	494	egg powder-based product Globigen Pig Doser, 4 ml on day 1, 2 ml on day 3
Group EP - 1, 2, 3	527	egg powder-based product Globigen Pig Doser, 4 ml on day 1, 2 ml on day 2 and 3

**EP = Egg powder-based product*

Results:

Figure 4 shows regardless of the frequency of oral application dosage given to pigs both were very supportive and significantly reduced mortality compared to the control. This resulted in a higher number of weaned pigs than in the control.




Figure 4: Mortality and resulting number of pigs weaned per sow and year

Conclusion

Using egg antibodies in pig nutrition is an effective tool to reduce mortality in young pigs. They can be applied individually by doser (newly weaned pigs) or via powder in the feed. Both practices have proven effectively in commercial operations.

References

[Erhard, M.H., Bergmann, J., Renner, M., Hofmann, A. and Heinritzi, K.: Prophylaktische Wirkung von spezifischen Dotterantikörpern bei Escherichia coli K88 \(F4\)-bedingten Durchfallerkrankungen von Absatzferkeln. J. Vet. Med. A. 43 ; 217-223 \(1996\).](#)

Kellner, J., Erhard, M.H., Renner, M. and Löscher, U.: Therapeutischer Einsatz von spezifischen Eiantikörpern bei Saugferkeldurchfall – ein Feldversuch. Tierärztliche Umschau 49, Nr.1; 31-34 (1994).

Klemperer, F.: Ueber natürliche Immunität und ihre Verwerthung für die Immunisierungstherapie. Arch. f. Exp. Pathol. Pharmacol. 31, 356-382 (1893)

Nguyen, V. S, Bui, H. N. P. and Nguyen, T. P. N.: Hyperimmunized chicken egg protein improves performance of piglets. Asian Pork Magazine, 18-19; April/May (2005).

Sieverding, E.: Handbuch gesunde Schweine; Kamlage Verlag (2000).

Yokoyama, H., Peralta, R.C., Diaz, R., Sendo, S., Ikemori, Y. and Kodama, Y.: Passive protective effect of chicken egg yolk immunoglobulins against experimental enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli infection in neonatal piglets. Infection and Immunity, 998-1007; Mar. (1992)

Mind the immunity gap: egg immunoglobulins bolster piglets' immune system




In contrast to humans, piglets do not receive any maternal immunoglobulins via the placenta. It is therefore of vital importance for these young animals to receive [maternal antibodies via the colostrum](#) as soon as possible after birth. Otherwise, they are more vulnerable to illnesses in their early stages of life.

In this article, we look in-depth at how the immune system works and which role antibodies play in it. We then consider [why immunoglobulins from the egg \(IgY\) might potentially be a powerful tool](#) for supporting young animals immunologically, allowing producers to maintain young animals' health and to promote their performance.

How the immune system defends the body: three barriers

The immune system aims to prevent pathogens such as viruses, bacteria, and fungi from entering the body or to eliminate them when they have already entered. Furthermore, it seeks to prepare the body for quicker reactions, in case of subsequent infections, by building an immunological memory. Generally, in case of an attack by pathogens, there are three barriers against the “enemy” (Figure 1).

Figure 1: The three barriers of the immune response



First barrier: the immediate, physical defense upon contact with pathogens

The animal body has several anatomical features that prevent pathogens from entering in the first place, such as cilia and mucus. Skin, intestines and nose lining are colonized by a community of beneficial micro-organisms that form a physical barrier against pathogens. Other barriers include the urinary system, the acid pH of the stomach, as well as tears and saliva, which contain antibacterial lysozymes.

Second barrier: the unspecific, native defense that does most of the work

If the mechanical mechanisms of defense were not successful, the unspecific, innate immune defense enters into play (Murphy and Weaver, 2018, 47ff.). At this stage, the body needs to differentiate between “known” and “alien” agents, and between “potentially harmful” and “harmless” ones.

To identify alien, potentially harmful agents, the unspecific defense looks for so-called PAMPs (pathogen associated molecular patterns). These are general characteristics often displayed by pathogens, such as lipopolysaccharides in the bacterial membrane or double-stranded RNA in viruses. Everything that shows PAMPs is heavily targeted.

The unspecific defense can be further divided into the humoral and the cellular defense. **The humoral defense** consists of substances dissolved in the body fluids, such as enzymes, reactive oxygen compounds, signal molecules and a whole cascade of proteins. Some of these substances kill pathogens directly; others “mark” the pathogens and “call for” the help of leucocytes.


The **cellular defense** consists of different leucocytes, also known as white blood cells (because they do not contain any red hemoglobin). The main task of leucocytes is the defense of the body against pathogens, hence many leucocytes are capable of phagocytosis (the ingestion of other cells). To prevent phagocytes from accidentally ingesting the body’s own cells, these own cells are marked with the so-called major histocompatibility complex (MHC). This acts as a red flag, saying “I belong to the body!”.

The cellular defense consists of:

- Neutrophil granulocytes (60-70% of the leucocytes), which mainly act against bacteria
- Eosinophil and basophil granulocytes (1.5% of the leucocytes), which mainly act against parasites

- Natural killer cells, which mainly act against viruses
- Monocytes (3-8% of the leucocytes; they differentiate into macrophages and dendritic cells)

Figure 1: The three barriers of the immune response



The monocytes, as well as their macrophage and dendritic cell “offspring”, are the bridge to the next step, the specific defense. When these phagocytes digest pathogens, minuscule protein structures (antigens) of the pathogens remain. These antigens are unique to each pathogen. During a process called antigen presentation, the antigens are tied to the cell’s MHC and transported to the cell surface. This triggers the production of specific antibodies, the immune system’s third barrier.

Third barrier: the specific immune defense that creates antibodies and immunological memory

The specific (also called adaptive or acquired) immune response kicks in a few days after contact with specific pathogens and is mostly carried out by lymphocytes called T and B cells (Murphy and Weaver, 2018, 177ff.). They are active at the cellular and the humoral level, respectively.

T cells possess receptors on their surface through which they can recognize the antigens presented to them by phagocytes. What they do subsequently depends on the subtype of the T cell:

- Cytotoxic T cells (CD8+) directly destroy the antigen-phagocyte-combination
- T helper cells (CD4+) attract other cells that can destroy the pathogens (e.g. macrophages) and stimulate B cells to produce antibodies against them

B cells also possess receptors through which they can recognize antigens. Once they spot an antigen (and T helper cells “confirm” that an immune response is required), they divide and mature into so-called plasma cells. Plasma cells, in turn, secrete plenty of antibodies (or immunoglobulins) into the bloodstream and the lymphatic system. Antibodies are protein structures that lock onto and neutralize antigens through different mechanisms.

The chemical reaction between antibodies and antigens is the body’s most powerful immune response through which it can protect itself from pathogens and their toxins. Antibody production continues for several days to remove the antigens, and antibodies usually remain in circulation for a few months.

Moreover, certain T and B cells memorize the first attack of a pathogen and turn into memory cells. [The T memory cells CD4+CD8+, for instance, match the antigens from certain past, latent, and particularly persistent viral infections.](#) This immunological memory, created by acquired immunity, can be thought of as a library of antibodies that the body adds to whenever it deals with a new pathogen or receives a vaccine. In case of a subsequent contact with the pathogen, the right antibody “model” already exists and mass production can start up very quickly.

Why young animals’ immune defense is so vulnerable – and what IgY can do about

that

Building one's immunological memory takes time. [A lot of new-born animals are in a vulnerable position](#): they have not had time yet to acquire immunity of their own, but they are also particularly fragile and susceptible to being attacked by commonly occurring pathogens such as corona and rotaviruses, *E. coli* and *clostridia*. The toxins that *E. coli* and *clostridia*, for instance, release, may cause [diarrhea](#), edema, endotoxic shock, and even death.

To be protected during the first critical days of their lives, new-born animals thus need to receive a foundational stock of antibodies (passive immunity) from their mother. Humans receive maternal immunoglobulins via the placenta. Piglets, because a sow's placenta is constructed differently, are dependent on receiving them through the colostrum after birth. If this is not the case – due to inadequate quantity or quality of the colostrum – they need to receive immune support in a different way.

[Egg-yolk antibodies have been proposed as a powerful tool](#) for supporting young animals during the critical period after birth. These special proteins support the colostrum supply and guarantee that every animal in the herd has some degree of protection. This protection mostly takes place in the gut. The IgY recognize and tie up pathogens and render them ineffective.

Trial: can egg immunoglobulins support piglet immunity?

In 2009, research at the National Veterinary Research Institute in Pulawy, Poland, was conducted to probe this hypothesis. The objective of the trial was to evaluate whether an oral application of [egg immunoglobulins](#) would have a quantifiable, positive influence on the immune system of the piglets. Different immunological parameters were measured, including different types of leucocytes.

Trial design

The test consists of 6 litters with 67 piglets in total divided into two groups. The control group (n=32) received the prophylaxis customary on the farm; the trial group (n=35) additionally received a product based on egg powder (EP)[\[1\]](#), applied at the inclusion rate recommended by the producer. Blood samples were taken on days 0 (before application of the product), 7, 14, and 28. They were analyzed with respect to the percentages of different types of lymphocytes.

Trial results

For the group receiving egg powder, the number of leucocytes in peripheral blood was significantly elevated compared to the control group on the 7th day of life (table 1). The amounts of lymphocytes and monocytes – indicators for the specific immunological defense – were also significantly increased on day 7, whereas the total amount of granulocytes – indicator for the innate, unspecific immune defense – remained constant. Hence, already during the first days, the piglets supplied with EP disposed of a higher level of adaptive (specific) immune defense, compared to the animals in the control group. In addition, there was a significant increase in the number of CD4-positive (CD4+) and CD4-CD8-double positive (CD4+CD8+) T cells in the EP group, compared to the control animals, indicating an active stimulation of the immune system.

Except for CD4+CD8+ T cells (which remained elevated in the EP group), on day 14, the differences in cellular immune response were no longer significant. This is most probably the case because by that time the immune system of the control group had activated its own protective response. The EP product therefore supported the young animals precisely when it was necessary, during the critical first days of life.

Table 1: Hematological parameters measured in piglets after prophylactic application of an egg powder based product (EP1)

Parameter evaluated	Unit	Average values± SD				
		Day 0	7th day of life		14th day of life	
			EP	Control	EP	Control
Erythrocytes	10 ¹² /L	4.77 ± 0.77	4.90 ± 1.61	4.56 ± 0.18	5.94 ± 0.45	5.78 ± 1.16
Hemoglobin	mmol/L	9.15 ± 1.57	9.47 ± 2.71	9.55 ± 1.63	12.03 ± 0.67	11.30 ± 2.04
Leucocytes	10 ⁹ /L	7.97 ± 3.41	11.73²⁾ ± 2.08	7.05²⁾ ± 0.64	10.98 ± 3.26	9.45 ± 2.58
Lymphocytes	10 ⁹ /L	2.62 ± 0.73	7.03²⁾ ± 1.97	3.1²⁾ ± 0.57	5.35 ± 1.76	4.88 ± 1.68
	%	36 ± 6.00	60²⁾ ± 5.77	45²⁾ ± 4.24	50 ± 5.74	52 ± 7.53
Monocytes	10 ⁹ /L	0.85 ± 0.24	1.63²⁾ ± 0.15	0.95²⁾ ± 0.21	1.25 ± 0.41	1.03 ± 0.29
	%	10.75 ± 2	13 ± 1.57	13 ± 1.44	11 ± 0.82	10 ± 0.82
Granulocytes	10 ⁹ /L	4.5 ± 2.52	3.07 ± 0.21	3.00 ± 0.14	4.38 ± 1.35	3.53 ± 1.01
	%	53.25 ± 8	26 ²⁾ ± 4.73	42 ²⁾ ± 5.66	40 ± 5.45	38 ± 8.17
CD4+	%	12.47 ± 6.14	18.00³⁾ ± 5.22	12.82³⁾ ± 4.94	21.52 ± 5.86	22.20 ± 3.77
CD8+	%	24.83 ± 1.77	32.45 ³⁾ ± 3.65	35.08 ³⁾ ± 2.57	33.88 ± 5.13	33.65 ± 3.71
CD4+CD8+	%*	6.27 ± 4.35	12.98³⁾ ± 5.67	8.55³⁾ ± 4.10	15.85³⁾ ± 2.95	9.62³⁾ ± 3.62
* in percent of the lymphocytes Statistical significance: 1) $\alpha \leq 0.01$; 2) $\alpha \leq 0.05$; 3) $\alpha \leq 0.1$						

¹Ig-PRO P (EW Nutrition)

The improvement of immune status, as indicated by the presence of the specific immune cells, was confirmed by the results for the incidence of diarrhea and mortality (table 2). The animals of the control group showed a nearly 1.5 times higher incidence of diarrhea and a 1.6 times higher rate of mortality. Another explanation of these results could be the mode of action of egg immunoglobulins: by neutralizing the pathogens directly in the gut, they prevent them from causing diarrhea in the first place.

Table 2: Incidence of diarrhea and mortality

	N/litter, Ø	Piglets showing diarrhea symptoms		Piglets dead due to diarrhea	
		N/litter, Ø	%	N/litter, Ø	%
EP group	10.97	1.95	18.76	0.37	3.37
Control group	10.94	2.94	27.21	0.59	5.39

In conclusion, this trial demonstrates that immunoglobulins from eggs (IgY) effectively support the immune system of piglets during the critical period of the first days of life.

Thanks to the stimulation of the young animals' specific immune defense and the direct neutralization of pathogens in the gut, the incidence of diarrhea – one of the main causes of losses during the first weeks of life – decreases. Hence, mind the immunity gap: providing piglets with a suitable egg powder based product sets them up for long-term health, growth, and performance.

By I. Heinzl, Editor, EW Nutrition

References:

- Foley, J. A., and D. E. Otterby. "Availability, Storage, Treatment, Composition, and Feeding Value of Surplus Colostrum: A Review 1, 2." *Journal of Dairy Science* 61, no. 8, 1033-1060.
doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(78)83686-8.
- Heinzl, Inge, and Felipe Barbosa. "Egg Antibody Technology for Nursery Pig Application." *Swineweb.com*. June 24, 2019. Accessed July 17, 2019.
<http://www.swineweb.com/egg-antibody-technology-for-nursery-pig-application/>.
- Marquardt, Ronald R., L. Z. Jin, Jung-Woo Kim, Lin Fang, Andrew A. Frohlich, and Samuel K. Baidoo. "Passive Protective Effect of Egg-yolk Antibodies against Enterotoxigenic Escherichia Coli K88 Infection in Neonatal and Early-weaned Piglets." *FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology* 23, no. 4 (1999): 283-288.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-695X.1999.tb01249.x>.
- Murphy, Kenneth M., and Casey Weaver. 2018. *Janeway Immunologie*. 9th ed. Translated by Lothar Seidler. Berlin: Springer.

Nascimbeni, Michelina, Eui-Cheol Shin, Luis Chiriboga, David E. Kleiner, and Barbara Rehermann. "Peripheral CD4 CD8 T Cells Are Differentiated Effector Memory Cells with Antiviral Functions." *Blood* 104, no. 2 (2004): 478-486. doi:10.1182/blood-2003-12-4395.

Yokoyama, Hideaki, Robert C. Peralta, Roger Diaz, Sadako Sendo, Yutaka Ikemori, and Yoshikatsu Kodama. "Passive Protective Effect of Chicken Egg Yolk Immunoglobulins." *Infection and Immunity* 60, no. 3 (March 1992): 998-1007. <https://iai.asm.org/content/iai/60/3/998.full.pdf>.