

# Understanding the dangers of mycotoxins for breeder hens



As the producers of hatching eggs and day-old chicks, breeding hens are the backbone of the poultry industry. Hence it is common practice to pay particular attention to this valuable asset's feed, selecting raw materials of high nutritional quality and safety. However, in any feed formulated for animals in production and reproduction, [studies show](#) that it is almost inevitable to find a certain level of mycotoxin contamination.

Mycotoxins exert toxic effects mainly on the gastrointestinal tract, liver, and kidneys and can accumulate in some tissues but also in the eggs. Mycotoxin contamination in breeder hens rations does not always lead to visible symptoms, such as when [trichothecenes cause oral lesions](#). However, it may influence productivity, egg quality, hatchery performance, as well as chick quality and immunity. Mycotoxin risk management is thus an essential part of managing breeder hens. Mycotoxins can negatively affect eggshell quality and, as a consequence, embryonic mortality.



By [Marisabel Caballero](#), Global Technical Manager Poultry at EW Nutrition.

# Type of mycotoxin and exposure time determine effect on egg production

[Mycotoxicosis in hens can cause reduced egg production](#), most likely because it causes a decrease in protein synthesis. A lower synthesis of albumin results from a degeneration of the liver tissue due to aflatoxin, ochratoxin, T2 and DON exposure. The liver then may look pale, friable and occasionally shows superficial hemorrhages.

The contamination levels at which these effects can be observed are as low as 100ppb in feed, for example, during a 21-day exposure to ochratoxin (*Figure 1*). With increasing levels of the toxin, production further decreases. A similar effect is observed when breeder hens are exposed to aflatoxins.




Figure 1 - Effect of mycotoxins on egg production, compared to non-contaminated control (=100 %)

Egg production, however, is not the only parameter that is affected when breeding hens are exposed to mycotoxins. Earlier on in the reproductive cycle, they already impact on embryonic mortality and hatchability. These effects are potentially more severe and may even occur without any noticeable change in the number of eggs produced.

## Mycotoxins' insidious consequences for eggshell quality and embryonic mortality

The eggshell is important to protect the progeny: thin and fragile shells can increase embryonic mortality, lower embryonic weight gain and decrease hatchability. Eggshell quality is a function of the hen's calcium and vitamin D3 metabolism. The bioavailability of calcium and of vitamin D3 depends on intestinal integrity and on the production of enzymes and transporters that aid in feed metabolism. These processes can be adversely affected by aflatoxins, DON, T2, and Fumonisin.

The gastrointestinal tract is not the only site of mycotoxin action, however. Mycotoxins such as aflatoxins and ochratoxins have nephrotoxic effects, affecting calcium metabolism and increasing its excretion via the urine, while lowering its levels in blood serum.

Moreover, mycotoxins damage the liver, which plays a central role in egg production, being responsible for vitamin D3 metabolism and the synthesis of the lipids that make up the yolk. Moreover, the synthesis of transporters for lipids, calcium, and carotenoids – important components of the egg – also takes place in the liver. When liver function is impaired, the internal and external quality of the egg declines, which, in the end, affects the production of day-old chicks.




Figure 1: effects of mycotoxins on eggshell quality and embryonic mortality

Figure 2 - Effects of mycotoxins on eggshell quality and embryonic mortality

Figure 2 summarises the possible ways in which mycotoxins can negatively affect eggshell quality and, as a consequence, increase embryonic mortality. If a hen's intestinal integrity is compromised, the utilization of nutrients decreases. Liver and kidney damage leads to a diminished availability of calcium and other nutrients necessary for egg formation. The birds' calcium (and phosphorus) levels in the plasma are then lower and may lead to a [greater mobilization of calcium from the bones](#). However, this response cannot be maintained and the eggs get a thinner shell.

The thickness of the eggshell influences the egg's moisture loss and exchange with the environment during the incubation period. An eggshell of optimal quality does not allow the loss of nutrients and prevents bacterial contamination. Thinner eggshells are less able to fulfill these functions, leading to higher embryo mortality.




Figure 3 – Effects of mycotoxins on embryonic mortality

*Figure 3* shows the effect of different mycotoxins on embryonic mortality. Incremental levels of ochratoxin or aflatoxin heighten embryonic mortality in a range from 1.5 to 7.5 times the embryonic mortality of the control group. In some cases, embryos are affected even when the hens received feed contaminated with mycotoxin levels that are within the guidelines suggested by the [EFSA](#).

For example, an exposure to 4900ppb of DON for ten weeks increases the number of embryos with abnormalities. The causes are not entirely clear, as only traces of DON can be found in the egg. However, we do know that this mycotoxin can affect the protein synthesis at the level of the hen's liver and therefore compromise the deposition of nutrients into the egg.

## Mycotoxins' effects on the progeny may cause long-term damage

Ochratoxin and aflatoxin can be transferred into the egg, where they exert toxicity on the embryos. This does not necessarily result in mortality. However, the [chicks can suffer from a compromised immune function](#) due to two reasons: lower transmission of antibodies from the hen and lower viability of the chickens' immune cells, accompanied by a lower relative weight of the bursa of Fabricio and the thymus.

When both aflatoxin and ochratoxin are present in the feed, [the effect on these parameters is synergistic](#). As a consequence of mycotoxin contamination, the animals' immune response is impaired, which makes them more susceptible to infection. The final result could be increased early chick mortality due to a higher incidence of bacterial and viral infections.

The transmission of other mycotoxins into the egg is minimal. While this means that a direct effect on the progeny is unlikely to occur, mycotoxin contamination still has a snowball effect: we have to consider the indirect effect of a lower deposition of nutrients on chick quality.

## Prevention is key: mycotoxin risk management for breeder hens

The best approach to manage mycotoxin risk is to implement an integrated strategy that includes good crop and grain storing practices, regular raw material sampling and mycotoxin evaluation and analysis. Management tools (such as [MasterRisk](#)) can help to evaluate mycotoxin interactions and to choose the best strategy for dealing with specific mycotoxin challenges.

The results of mycotoxin analyses can be used to take decisions regarding the inclusion levels of raw materials and in choosing [feed additives](#) that counteract mycotoxins. Products based on plant extracts, yeast cell walls, and clay minerals can help to stabilize a digestive system challenged by mycotoxins. They support the barrier function in the intestine, preventing the passage of mycotoxins into the bloodstream.

[Phytomolecules](#) are another piece of the puzzle: thanks to their antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties, they support liver function. This is particularly important for long-living animals prone to accumulating mycotoxins in their body tissues.

For a long time the “deleterious effects” of mycotoxins on breeder hens and “their repercussions on progeny health status and performance have not received from a scientific point of view as much attention” ([Calini and Sirri, 2007](#)) as they ought to have. However, now that the dangers of mycotoxins for breeder hens' welfare, health and performance are better understood, it is clear that mycotoxin risk evaluation and management is central to successful poultry production.

\*This article first appeared in [All About Feed](#) on 31 October 2018

## Reference:

Photo: Hans Prinsen.

[Brake, J., P. B. Hamilton, and R. S. Kittrell. "Effects of the Trichothecene Mycotoxin Diacetoxyscirpenol on Feed Consumption, Body Weight, and Oral Lesions of Broiler Breeders." \*Poultry Science\* 79, no. 6 \(June 01, 2000\): 856-63 doi:10.1093/ps/79.6.856.](#)

[Brake, J., P. Hamilton, and R. Kittrell. "Effects of the Trichothecene Mycotoxin Diacetoxyscirpenol on Egg Production of Broiler Breeders." \*Poultry Science\* 81, no. 12 \(December 01, 2002\): 1807-810 doi:10.1093/ps/81.12.1807.](#)

[Bryden, Wayne L. "Mycotoxin Contamination of the Feed Supply Chain: Implications for Animal Productivity and Feed Security." \*Animal Feed Science and Technology\* 173, no. 1-2 \(2012\): 134-58 doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2011.12.014.](#)

[Calini, F., and F. Sirri. "Breeder Nutrition and Offspring Performance." \*Revista Brasileira De Ciência Avícola\* 9, no. 2 \(2007\): 77-83 doi:10.1590/s1516-635x2007000200001.](#)

[Hester, Patricia Y. "Improving Egg Production and Hen Health with Calcium." In \*Egg Innovations and Strategies for Improvements\*, edited by Patricia Y. Hester, 319-29. London: Academic Press, 2017 doi:10.1016/b978-0-12-800879-9.00030-5.](#)

[Ul-Hassan, Zahoor, Muhammad Zargham Khan, Ahrar Khan, Ijaz Javed, and Muhammad Kashif Saleemi. "Immunological status of the progeny of breeder hens kept on ochratoxin A \(OTA\)- and aflatoxin B1 \(AFB1\)-contaminated feeds." \*Journal of Immunotoxicology\* 9, no. 4 \(April 24, 2012\): 381-91. doi:10.3109/1547691X.2012.675365.](#)

---

# Mycotoxin interactions: An obstacle to risk assessment



**In animal feed, multi-mycotoxin contamination is found quite frequently and seems to be the rule rather than the exception in practical diets. Here is a quick overview of the known interactions.**

## **What are the most common mycotoxins in feed?**

Mycotoxins represent an exceptional challenge for feed and animal producers: they are produced by common molds, occur in a great variety and number, are sporadic or heterogeneous in their distribution, and their effects on farm animals are seldom recognized as mycotoxicosis. Among hundreds of known mycotoxins, aflatoxins, mainly produced by *Aspergillus* species, ochratoxin A, produced by *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* species, as well as fumonisins, trichothecenes (especially DON and T-2 toxin) and zearalenone, primarily produced by many *Fusarium* species stand out as the most common contaminants.

## **Consequences of mycotoxin contamination**

Ingestion of these mycotoxins may cause an acute toxicity or chronic disorders, depending on the concentration and duration of exposure. In farm animals, this might manifest as decreased performance, feed refusal, poor feed conversion, reduced body weight gain, immune suppression, reproductive disorders, and residues in animal food products.

Due to their frequent occurrence and their severe toxic properties, many countries appointed legal regulations or guidance for the major mycotoxins to protect animals and human consumers. The current regulations are typically very specific in terms of animal species and even for the production stage considering that mycotoxins affect for example poultry in a different way than cattle and broilers in a different way than breeders or laying hens. The threshold and/or guidance values for each species, however, were determined based on toxicological data from studies investigating a monoexposure leaving out the possibility of any combined effects of mycotoxins.

# Multi-contamination: the rule, not the exception

If we were able to ensure that the animals were exposed to only one mycotoxin at a time, following the regulatory guidelines would allow us to protect our animals in most of the cases. Several worldwide surveys show, however, that mycotoxin multicontamination of animal feed is found very frequently\* and seems to be the rule rather than the exception in practical diets. The concurrent appearance of mycotoxins in feed can be explained as follows: each mold species has the capacity to produce a number of mycotoxins simultaneously. Each species, in turn, may infest several raw materials leaving behind one or more toxic residue. In the end, a complete diet is made up of various raw materials with individual mycotoxin loads resulting in a multitude of toxic challenges for the animals.

Several researchers showed that the effects observed during multiple mycotoxin exposure can differ greatly from the effects observed in animals exposed to a single mycotoxin, indicating that the simultaneous presence of mycotoxins may be more toxic than predicted from the mycotoxins alone. This is because mycotoxins interact with each other. The interactions can be classified into three main different categories: *antagonistic*, *additive*, and *synergistic*.

## Types of mycotoxin interactions

**Additivity** occurs when the effect of the combination equals the expected sum of the individual effects of the two toxins (*Figure 1a*).


**Synergistic** interactions of two mycotoxins lead to a greater effect of the mycotoxin combination than would be expected from the sum of their individual effects (*Figure 1b*). A special form of synergy, sometimes called potentiation, occurs when one or both of the mycotoxins do not induce effects whereas the combination induces a significant effect.

When the effect of the mycotoxin combination is lower than expected from the sum of their individual effects, **antagonism** can be observed (*Figure 1c*). In general, most of the mycotoxin mixtures lead to additive or synergistic effects, highlighting a significant threat to animal health and being the major reason that impedes risk assessment. Synergistic actions may occur when the single mycotoxins of a mixture act at different stages of the same mechanism, e.g. T-2 increases ROS production while AFB1 decreases its clearance when the presence of one mycotoxin increases the absorption of another or decreases its metabolic degradation.

## Be aware of contaminations

Given their complex interactions, the toxicity of [combinations of mycotoxins](#) cannot merely be predicted based upon their individual toxicities. Knowing that even low levels of mycotoxin combinations can harm animal productivity, health, and welfare, it is useful for feed and animal producers to be aware of present contaminations, to be able to [link them to the risk they pose](#) for the animal and consequently take actions before the problems appear in the field.

Figure 1 - Characterisation of the interaction between mycotoxins (Smith et al., 2016).



\*References are available on request.

**By Marisabel Caballero, Global Technical Manager, Poultry**  
*Published on ALL ABOUT FEED | Reprint 2018.*